



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/737,166	12/14/2000	David Akopian	944-001.036	8426
4955	7590 02/13/2004		EXAMINER	
WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS &			CORRIELUS, JEAN B	
ADOLPHSC	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BRADFORD GREEN BUILDING 5			AKI UNII	FAFER NUMBER
755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224			2631	13
MONROE,	C1 00408		DATE MAILED: 02/13/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/737,166	AKOPIAN ET AL.				
·	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jean B Corrielus	2631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 29 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejecti RE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the feet (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appooriginally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-34</u> .			:			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>36-40,42-46 and 48-52</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>35,41 and 47</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>1</u>	<u>1</u> .				
10.☐ Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/737,166

Art Unit: 2631

Advisory Action

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 1/29/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is alleged that Akazawa does not teach a coherent processing as it is a process in which consecutive signal data points are summed after possibly being multiplied by factors. However, such a definition of a coherent processing is not clearly identified in the claim. Applicant's further stated that Akazawa does not teach that the step of coherent processing is performed using digital signal processing. However, such limitation is not recited in the claim. It is further asserted that although it was said that "the coherent processing following the squaring or similar operation serves as a filter", however, such a filter does not perform the same function as the filter taught by Okazawa. However, the function of the filter of the claimed invention is not positively recited in the claim to differentiate it from the filter of Okazawa and therefore will not be given patentable weight.
- 2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean B. Corrielus whose telephone number is (703) 305-4023. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

Primary Examiner

Tc 2600 2/12/04